

May 4, 2007

The Honorable Charles L. A. Terreni Chief Clerk and Administrator The Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: Docket No. 2005-385-E - Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net Metering and Additional Standards) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 - PEC's Motion for Prehearing Conference and Response to the Motion of Pamela Greenlaw

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket is PEC's Motion for Prehearing Conference and Response to the Motion of Pamela Greenlaw.

All Parties of Record have been served with this Motion on even date. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

/s/ Len S. Anthony

Len S. Anthony Deputy General Counsel – Regulatory Affairs

LSA:mhm

Enclosure

cc: Parties of Record

### BEFORE

# THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

# SOUTH CAROLINA

### DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E

Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net Metering) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND RESPONSE TO THE MOTION OF PAMELA GREENLAW

Pursuant to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("the Commission") Rules 103-819, 103-820, 103-829 and 103-839, Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. ("PEC") moves the Commission to schedule a prehearing conference in this docket to simplify and stipulate issues in this proceeding. Included in this pleading is also PEC's Response to the Motion of Pamela Greenlaw to limit this proceeding to whether the Commission should adopt some form of net metering, and, if the Commission elects to do so, establish a separate proceeding in which to evaluate the appropriate net metering model. In support thereof, PEC shows the following:

1. PEC is an electric utility, generating, transmitting, distributing, and selling electric power in the state of South Carolina, subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

PEC is a North Carolina Corporation, domesticated to conduct and conducting business within the state of South Carolina.

2. The attorney authorized to receive communications and pleadings relevant to this Motion is:

Len S. Anthony
Deputy General Counsel
P. O. Box 1551
410 South Wilmington Street
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
Telephone: (919) 546-6367

- 3. This docket is somewhat unusual given the number of lay witnesses submitting testimony. Fundamentally, each of these witnesses is making the same recommendation to the Commission, i.e., adopt some form of net metering. The other parties to this proceeding, the utilities and the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS"), have indicated they are not opposed to the Commission adopting net metering, provided the Commission takes all appropriate steps to minimize the subsidization of net metering customers by the remaining utility customer body.
- 4. A prehearing conference could easily result in the stipulation of a number of issues and shorten the hearing. Thus, a prehearing conference should be a productive activity.
- 5. Turning to Pamela Greenlaw's Motion to Establish a Separate Proceeding to address the type of net metering model to be adopted, if the Commission decides to allow net metering, such a separation is not needed and would constitute an inefficient use of the Commission's resources.
- 6. Notice that the Commission had initiated a proceeding to consider whether the electric utilities of South Carolina should be required to provide net metering services was thoroughly published throughout the State. As evidenced by the numerous comments that have been filed and parties that have intervened in this proceeding, the public notice was effective and interested parties have contacted the Commission to present their views. Any party interested in net metering knows that this is the proceeding in which such parties can and should present their

views regarding the proper net metering model to be adopted by the Commission. The subject

matter of this proceeding is not limited to simply a generic question to be answered "yes" or

"no" as to whether the Commission should allow net metering in South Carolina. Rather, as

evidenced by the public notice and the Commission's Orders in this proceeding, the subject

matter of this proceeding includes a consideration of the proper net metering model to be

adopted by the Commission if it decides net metering is in the public interest.

7. Therefore, to schedule and allow such a separate proceeding as proposed by Ms.

Greenlaw would be a waste of the Commission's, and the other parties' to this proceeding,

resources, and should be denied.

WHEREFORE, PEC moves the Commission to establish a prehearing conference and

deny Pamela Greenlaw's Motion to Establish Separate Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted this the 4th day of May, 2007.

PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.

Sen & aulander

Deputy General Counsel Post Office Box 1551

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Telephone: (919) 546-6367

### BEFORE

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

## SOUTH CAROLINA

#### DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E

)

)

)

Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net Metering) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.'S MOTION FOR PREHEARING CONFERENCE AND RESPONSE TO THE MOTION OF PAMELA GREENLAW

I, Len S. Anthony, hereby certify that 1 have placed copies of PEC's Motion for Prehearing Conference and Response to the Motion of Pamela Greenlaw in the U. S. Mail on this date, to the parties of record at the addresses shown below, with sufficient postage attached:

Nanette Edwards, Esquire Office of Regulatory staff 1441 Main Street, Suite 300 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Lawrence B. Somers Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Corporation Post Office Box 1244, PB05E Charlotte, North Carolina 28201

Catherine E. Heigel, Counsel Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Post Office Box 1006, EC03T Charlotte, NC, 28201-1066

Mel Jenkins Mel Jenkins 3324 Montgomery Avenue Columbia, SC, 29205

Ruth Thomas 1339 Sinkler Road Columbia, SC 29206 Shannon Bowyer Hudson, Esquire Office of Regulatory staff 1441 Main Street, Suite 300 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Richard L. Whitt, Esquire Austin, Lewis & Rogers, P.A. Post Office Box 11716 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

John F. Hardaway, Attorney at Law John F. Hardaway 1338 Pickens Street Columbia, SC, 29201

Pamela Greenlaw 1001 Wotan Road Columbia, SC, 29229

Catherine D Taylor, Senior Counsel South Carolina Electric and Gas Company 1426 Main Street, M/C 130 Columbia, SC 29201

K. Chad Burgess, Counsel South Carolina Electric and Gas Company 1426 Main Street, MC 130 Columbia, SC 29201

This the 4th day of May, 2007.

Len'S. Anthony

Deputy General Counsel